





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/042,681	03/12/1998	AKIKO ISHIDA	MAT-5870	5427
7	7590 03/05/2003			
LAWRENCE E ASHERY		EXAM	INER	
ONE WESTLA	RATNER & PRESTIA ONE WESTLAKES BERWYN		CREPEAU, J	ONATHAN
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FOR	SUITE 301 RGE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		_	00				
	Application No.	plicant(s)					
	09/042,681	ISHIDA ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-25 and 31-36</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:	. , ,						
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/042,681



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments relating to the size and content ranges of the ceramic partices are new issues requiring further consideration and search. In addition, Applicant's argument concerning the 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 32 and 35 is not persuasive. Applicants state that the limitation "increas[ed] by at least 20%" is supported by Fig. 5 since the lower endpoint of 20% (i.e., an increase from 1.5 to 1.8 mAh) is shown. However, the claimed range is open-ended and encompasses values which are not shown in Fig. 5. Accordingly, it is still believed that this recitation contains new matter.

RANDY GULAKÓWSKI SUPERVISORY PATEN I EAAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700